

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 02141 201350Z

41

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03 NEA-10

NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 SS-20 NSC-07 DRC-01 EB-11 COME-00 /120 W

----- 001825

R 201300Z APR 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5258

SECDEF

INFO USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

USLOSACLANT

CINCLANT

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 2141

E.O. 11652: GDS 12/31/80

TAGS: PFOR, NATO

SUBJ: AD-70 SPRING 1974 REPORT

REF: (A) USNATO 2039 (B) STATE 78693

BEGIN SUMMARY. ASYG HUMPHREYS HOSTED PRIVATE MEETING APRIL 19 TO DISCUSS 1974 AD-70 SPRING REPORT. EWG REPS FROM US, UK, FRG, CANADA, IMS, AND NETHERLANDS AND ASYG TUCKER (DEFENSE SUPPORT DIVISION) ATTENDED. WIDE-RANGING DISCUSSION COVERED FORMAT/CONTENT OF 1974 SPRING REPORT AS WELL AS RELATIONSHIP AMONG ONGOING BASIC ISSUES, AD-70 AND FORCE PROPOSALS/GOALS EXERCISES. PARTICIPANTS AGREED ON CONTINUING USEFULNESS OF AD-70 PROGRAM, NECESSITY FOR MORE CONCISE VERSION OF 1974 SPRING REPORT, AND NEED FOR BASIC ISSUES REPORT SEPARATE FROM AD-70 SPRING REPORT. END SUMMARY.

1. ASGY HUMPHREYS OPENED DISCUSSION BY ASKING WHAT EWG EXPECTED TO ACHIEVE WITH 1974 AD-70 SPRING REPORT. US REP (PRENDERGAST) SAID REPORT SHOULD FOCUS MINISTERIAL ATTENTION ON SERIOUS SHORT-COMINGS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF AG-70 PROGRAM AND RECOMMENDED, WITH SUPPORT OF CANADIAN AND UK REPS, THAT SIGNIFICANT PORTIONS OF THE CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 02141 201350Z

REPORT BE ORALLY BRIEFED TO MINISTERS. HUMPHREYS ASKED HOW THE AD-70 PROGRAM SHOULD RELATE TO THE FORCE GOALS EXERCISE. US REP SAID THAT AD-70 PROVIDED A REGIONAL PERSPECTIVE ON THE ALLIANCE WHICH EFFECTIVELY COMPLEMENTED THE COUNTRY-BY-COUNTRY ORIENTATION

OF THE FORCE GOALS PROCESS. MOST REPS SUPPORTED THIS IN GENERAL TERMS, BUT SEVERAL EXPRESSED FEAR THAT AD-70 EXERCISE TENDED TO OVERSHADOW/DOWNGRADE FORCE GOALS PROCESS. UK AND IMP REPS SUGGESTED THAT EWG FURTHER CONSIDER HOW FORCE GOALS PROCESS MIGHT BE STRENGTHENED AND OFFER RECOMMENDATIONS TO MINISTERS. NO REPEAT NO REPS SUPPORTED IS RECOMMENDATION (PART III, REF A) THAT FUTURE AD-70 MONITORING BE TOTALLY SUBSUMED UNDER NORMAL FORCE GOALS PROCESS.

2. REPS AGREED THAT SHORT, CONCISE 1974 AD-70 SPRING REPORT WOULD HAVE MORE IMPACT ON MINISTERS THAN LENGTHY VERSIONS AS IN PREVIOUS YEARS. HUMPHREYS SAID THAT IN LIGHT OF SHORTENED FORMAT, HE WOULD ABANDON HIS EARLIER SUPPORT FOR INCLUDING FULL BASIC ISSUES COVERAGE IN AD-70 REPORT. REPS AGREED THAT AD-70 REPORT WOULD BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE STATUS ON BASIC ISSUES AND MAKE REFERENCE TO SEPARATE, MORE COMPREHENSIVE BASIC ISSUES REPORT TO BE PREPARED FOR MINISTERS FROM NATIONAL AND MNC INPUTS. FRG REP MADE STRONG PLEA FOR COMPLETING WORK ON BASIC ISSUES ALREADY IDENTIFIED BEFORE ATTEMPTING NEW ISSUES AREAS. MISSION COMMENT: FRG REP HAS SHOWN SOME INCONSISTENCY ABOUT "NEW" BASIC ISSUES. IN PRIVATE DISCUSSIONS PRIOR TO APRIL 19 MEETING, HE INDICATED THAT EWG SHOULD STICK WITH ORIGINAL BASIC ISSUES (ANTI-ARMOR, AIRCRAFT SHELTERS, AND WAR RESERVES). DURING APRIL 19 MEETING, HE INCLUDED "NEW" BASIC ISSUES (MODERN AIR/SHIP MUNITIONS, MOBILE AIR DEFENSE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE) AMONG THOSE WHICH HE SUPPORTED AS BEING "ALREADY IDENTIFIED" AND APPROPRIATE FOR EWG CONSIDERATION. END COMMENT.

3. UK REP SAID AD-70 REPORT SHOULD EMPHASIZE NEED FOR MINISTERIAL ATTENTION TO THE INFRASTRUCTURE BUDGET, BUT ALLOW THE INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE TO RECOMMEND A SPECIFIC LEVEL OF FUNDING. US REP EXPRESSED DOUBT THAT INFRASTRUCTURE C COMMITTEE WOULD PROVIDE A SUITABLE RECOMMENDATION AND ARGUED FOR INCLUSION OF A RECOMMENDED FUNDING LEVEL IN THE AD-70 SPRING REPORT. ASYG TUCKER SAID HE ALSO DOUBTED THAT INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE WOULD DEVELOP A STRONG ENOUGH SEPARATE REPORT TO GENERATE A MINISTERIAL DECISION. HUMPHREYS RECOMMENDED THAT THE CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 02141 201350Z

AD-70 REPORT POINT OUT IMPORTANCE OF INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM AND SHOW RELATIVE IMPACTS ON NATO DEFENSE CAPABILITIES OF DIFFERENT FUNDING LEVELS.

4. EWG REPS AGREED TO FOLLOWING TENTATIVE SCHEDULE FOR DEVELOPING 1974 AD-70 SPRING REPORT:

2 MAY: IS COMPLETION OF FIRST DRAFT.

7 MAY: EWG CONSIDERATION OF FIRST DRAFT.

17 MAY: EWG CONSIDERATION OF SECOND DRAFT.

24 MAY; EWG ACCEPTANCE OF FINAL VERSION. RUMSFELD

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 11 JUN 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 20 APR 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** garlanwa  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974ATO02141  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS 12/31/80  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740482/abbryula.tel  
**Line Count:** 111  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** (A) USNATO 2039 (B) STATE 78693  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** garlanwa  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 09 APR 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <09 APR 2002 by elyme>; APPROVED <04-Sep-2002 by garlanwa>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** AD-70 SPRING 1974 REPORT  
**TAGS:** PFOR, NATO  
**To:** STATE  
SECDEF INFO USNMR SHAPE  
USCINCEUR  
USLOSACLANT  
CINCLANT  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

